

Model Theory for abelian C^* -algebras

Alessandro Vignati
York University, Toronto

Torino
December 22nd 2014

(Joint with Christopher J. Eagle, University of Toronto)

- Background - C^* -algebras
- Model Theory and saturation
- The commutative 0-dimensional case

Definition

A C^* -algebra is a complex Banach space A with a multiplication \cdot and an involution $*$ satisfying:

- $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$,
- $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$ and $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$,
- $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$
- $\|x\| = \|x^*\|$ and (C^* -equality) $\|xx^*\| = \|x\|^2$.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Example

- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, for every n ,
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators $T: H \rightarrow H$, where H is a complex Hilbert space (e.g. $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$),
- $*$ -closed Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$ for X compact Hausdorff, with pointwise operations.
- sums, tensor products and products of the above.

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal)

- Every unital commutative C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$, for some X compact Hausdorff.
- Every C^* -algebra A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, for some H . If A is separable, H can be chosen to be separable too.

Definition

- Let A a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{U} an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}
- $\ell^\infty(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty\}$
- $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0\}$ is an ideal in $\ell^\infty(A)$.
- The ultrapower is defined as $A^{\mathcal{U}} = \ell^\infty(A)/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$.

The ultrapower of a C^* -algebra is again a C^* -algebra.

Definition

- Let A a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{U} an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}
- $\ell^\infty(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty\}$
- $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0\}$ is an ideal in $\ell^\infty(A)$.
- The ultrapower is defined as $A^{\mathcal{U}} = \ell^\infty(A) / \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$.

The ultrapower of a C^* -algebra is again a C^* -algebra.

Definition

- Let A a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{U} an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}
- $\ell^\infty(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty\}$
- $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0\}$ is an ideal in $\ell^\infty(A)$.
- The ultrapower is defined as $A^{\mathcal{U}} = \ell^\infty(A) / \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$.

The ultrapower of a C^* -algebra is again a C^* -algebra.

Definition

- Let A a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{U} an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}
- $\ell^\infty(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty\}$
- $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0\}$ is an ideal in $\ell^\infty(A)$.
- The ultrapower is defined as $A^{\mathcal{U}} = \ell^\infty(A) / \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$.

The ultrapower of a C^* -algebra is again a C^* -algebra.

Definition

- Let A a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{U} an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}
- $\ell^\infty(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \sup_n \|a_n\| < \infty\}$
- $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \{(a_n) \mid a_n \in A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0\}$ is an ideal in $\ell^\infty(A)$.
- The ultrapower is defined as $A^{\mathcal{U}} = \ell^\infty(A)/\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$.

The ultrapower of a C^* -algebra is again a C^* -algebra.

- In the usual (discrete) setting for model theory ultrapowers are closely tied to first-order logic.
- Unfortunately first-order logic as we know it is not the right logic for studying C^* -algebra
- Instead we will use a logic with truth values in \mathbb{R} , developed for the study of metric structures (Ben Yaacov - Berenstein - Henson - Usvyatsov) in a version adapted for C^* -algebras (Farah - Hart - Sherman).
- It was proved that this is the right logic (there is a Lindstrom-like Theorem) if one wants basic model theory (Lowenheim-Skolem \uparrow and \downarrow , compactness, unions of elementary chains, etc).

- In the usual (discrete) setting for model theory ultrapowers are closely tied to first-order logic.
- Unfortunately first-order logic as we know it is not the right logic for studying C^* -algebra
- Instead we will use a logic with truth values in \mathbb{R} , developed for the study of metric structures (Ben Yaacov - Berenstein - Henson - Usvyatsov) in a version adapted for C^* -algebras (Farah - Hart - Sherman).
- It was proved that this is the right logic (there is a Lindstrom-like Theorem) if one wants basic model theory (Lowenheim-Skolem \uparrow and \downarrow , compactness, unions of elementary chains, etc).

- In the usual (discrete) setting for model theory ultrapowers are closely tied to first-order logic.
- Unfortunately first-order logic as we know it is not the right logic for studying C^* -algebra
- Instead we will use a logic with truth values in \mathbb{R} , developed for the study of metric structures (Ben Yaacov - Berenstein - Henson - Usvyatsov) in a version adapted for C^* -algebras (Farah - Hart - Sherman).
- It was proved that this is the right logic (there is a Lindstrom-like Theorem) if one wants basic model theory (Lowenheim-Skolem \uparrow and \downarrow , compactness, unions of elementary chains, etc).

- In the usual (discrete) setting for model theory ultrapowers are closely tied to first-order logic.
- Unfortunately first-order logic as we know it is not the right logic for studying C^* -algebra
- Instead we will use a logic with truth values in \mathbb{R} , developed for the study of metric structures (Ben Yaacov - Berenstein - Henson - Usvyatsov) in a version adapted for C^* -algebras (Farah - Hart - Sherman).
- It was proved that this is the right logic (there is a Lindstrom-like Theorem) if one wants basic model theory (Lowenheim-Skolem \uparrow and \downarrow , compactness, unions of elementary chains, etc).

- In the usual (discrete) setting for model theory ultrapowers are closely tied to first-order logic.
- Unfortunately first-order logic as we know it is not the right logic for studying C^* -algebra
- Instead we will use a logic with truth values in \mathbb{R} , developed for the study of metric structures (Ben Yaacov - Berenstein - Henson - Usvyatsov) in a version adapted for C^* -algebras (Farah - Hart - Sherman).
- It was proved that this is the right logic (there is a Lindstrom-like Theorem) if one wants basic model theory (Lowenheim-Skolem \uparrow and \downarrow , compactness, unions of elementary chains, etc).

- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\|P(\bar{x})\|$ where $P(\bar{x})$ is a $*$ -polynomial.
- If $\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x})$ are formulas, and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous, then $f(\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x}))$ is a formula.
- If ϕ is a formula and $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\sup_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ and $\inf_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ are formulas.

Note that there is no negation, nor implication.

- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\|P(\bar{x})\|$ where $P(\bar{x})$ is a $*$ -polynomial.
- If $\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x})$ are formulas, and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous, then $f(\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x}))$ is a formula.
- If ϕ is a formula and $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\sup_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ and $\inf_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ are formulas.

Note that there is no negation, nor implication.

- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\|P(\bar{x})\|$ where $P(\bar{x})$ is a $*$ -polynomial.
- If $\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x})$ are formulas, and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous, then $f(\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x}))$ is a formula.
- If ϕ is a formula and $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\sup_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ and $\inf_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ are formulas.

Note that there is no negation, nor implication.

- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\|P(\bar{x})\|$ where $P(\bar{x})$ is a $*$ -polynomial.
- If $\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x})$ are formulas, and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous, then $f(\phi_1(\bar{x}), \dots, \phi_n(\bar{x}))$ is a formula.
- If ϕ is a formula and $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\sup_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ and $\inf_{\|x\| \leq R} \phi(x)$ are formulas.

Note that there is no negation, nor implication.

- If $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a formula, A is a C^* -algebra and a_1, \dots, a_n we can obtain the value $\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}$ by substituting a_i for x_i and using operations and norm of A .
- A formula without free-variables is a sentence. Given a sentence σ we write $A \models \sigma$ to mean $\sigma^A = 0$.

- If $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a formula, A is a C^* -algebra and a_1, \dots, a_n we can obtain the value $\phi^A(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}$ by substituting a_i for x_i and using operations and norm of A .
- A formula without free-variables is a sentence. Given a sentence σ we write $A \models \sigma$ to mean $\sigma^A = 0$.

$Th(A)$ the set of sentences that are "true" in A .

$$Th(A) = \{\sigma \mid A \models \sigma\} = \{\sigma \mid \sigma^A = 0\}$$

A and B are elementary equivalent ($A \equiv B$) if $Th(A) = Th(B)$.

Theorem (Keisler-Shelah)

- $A \equiv A^{\mathcal{U}}$ for every ultrafilter \mathcal{U}
- $A \equiv B$ if and only if there is an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $A^{\mathcal{U}} \cong B^{\mathcal{U}}$. If the Continuum Hypothesis holds \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , otherwise a larger index set may be needed.

$Th(A)$ the set of sentences that are "true" in A .

$$Th(A) = \{\sigma \mid A \models \sigma\} = \{\sigma \mid \sigma^A = 0\}$$

A and B are elementary equivalent ($A \equiv B$) if $Th(A) = Th(B)$.

Theorem (Keisler-Shelah)

- $A \equiv A^{\mathcal{U}}$ for every ultrafilter \mathcal{U}
- $A \equiv B$ if and only if there is an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $A^{\mathcal{U}} \cong B^{\mathcal{U}}$. If the Continuum Hypothesis holds \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , otherwise a larger index set may be needed.

$Th(A)$ the set of sentences that are "true" in A .

$$Th(A) = \{\sigma \mid A \models \sigma\} = \{\sigma \mid \sigma^A = 0\}$$

A and B are elementary equivalent ($A \equiv B$) if $Th(A) = Th(B)$.

Theorem (Keisler-Shelah)

- $A \equiv A^{\mathcal{U}}$ for every ultrafilter \mathcal{U}
- $A \equiv B$ if and only if there is an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $A^{\mathcal{U}} \cong B^{\mathcal{U}}$. If the Continuum Hypothesis holds \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , otherwise a larger index set may be needed.

$Th(A)$ the set of sentences that are "true" in A .

$$Th(A) = \{\sigma \mid A \models \sigma\} = \{\sigma \mid \sigma^A = 0\}$$

A and B are elementary equivalent ($A \equiv B$) if $Th(A) = Th(B)$.

Theorem (Keisler-Shelah)

- $A \equiv A^{\mathcal{U}}$ for every ultrafilter \mathcal{U}
- $A \equiv B$ if and only if there is an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $A^{\mathcal{U}} \cong B^{\mathcal{U}}$. If the Continuum Hypothesis holds \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , otherwise a larger index set may be needed.

Example

$$\left(\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \|xy - yx\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is abelian.

$$\left(\inf_{\|x\|=1} \|x^2\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is not abelian.

We focus on abelian C^* -algebras. Then $A \cong C(X)$. The following topological properties can be detected by the theory:

- being connected or disconnected
- having Lebesgue covering dimension $=, \leq$ or \geq than n
- being indecomposable, hereditary indecomposable
- ..and much more!

Example

$$\left(\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \|xy - yx\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is abelian.

$$\left(\inf_{\|x\|=1} \|x^2\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is not abelian.

We focus on abelian C^* -algebras. Then $A \cong C(X)$. The following topological properties can be detected by the theory:

- being connected or disconnected
- having Lebesgue covering dimension $=, \leq$ or \geq than n
- being indecomposable, hereditary indecomposable
- ..and much more!

Example

$$\left(\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \|xy - yx\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is abelian.

$$\left(\inf_{\|x\|=1} \|x^2\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is not abelian.

We focus on abelian C^* -algebras. Then $A \cong C(X)$. The following topological properties can be detected by the theory:

- being connected or disconnected
- having Lebesgue covering dimension $=, \leq$ or \geq than n
- being indecomposable, hereditary indecomposable
- ..and much more!

Example

$$\left(\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \|xy - yx\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is abelian.

$$\left(\inf_{\|x\|=1} \|x^2\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is not abelian.

We focus on abelian C^* -algebras. Then $A \cong C(X)$. The following topological properties can be detected by the theory:

- being connected or disconnected
- having Lebesgue covering dimension $=, \leq$ or \geq than n
- being indecomposable, hereditary indecomposable
- ..and much more!

Example

$$\left(\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \|xy - yx\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is abelian.

$$\left(\inf_{\|x\|=1} \|x^2\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is not abelian.

We focus on abelian C^* -algebras. Then $A \cong C(X)$. The following topological properties can be detected by the theory:

- being connected or disconnected
- having Lebesgue covering dimension $=, \leq$ or \geq than n
- being indecomposable, hereditary indecomposable
- ..and much more!

Example

$$\left(\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \|xy - yx\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is abelian.

$$\left(\inf_{\|x\|=1} \|x^2\| \right)^A = 0$$

if and only if A is not abelian.

We focus on abelian C^* -algebras. Then $A \cong C(X)$. The following topological properties can be detected by the theory:

- being connected or disconnected
- having Lebesgue covering dimension $=, \leq$ or \geq than n
- being indecomposable, hereditary indecomposable
- ..and much more!

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^ -algebras of dimension 0.*

Moreover

- $C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .
- $C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^ -algebras of dimension 0.*

Moreover

- $C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .
- $C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^ -algebras of dimension 0.*

Moreover

- $C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .
- $C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^ -algebras of dimension 0.*

Moreover

- *$C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .*
- *$C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$*

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^ -algebras of dimension 0.*

Moreover

- $C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .
- $C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^ -algebras of dimension 0.*

Moreover

- $C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .
- $C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^ -algebras of dimension 0.*

Moreover

- $C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .
- $C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$

We focus on the 0-dimensional case. Every X is compact Hausdorff. A topological space is 0-dimensional if every open set contains a nontrivial clopen (closed+open). In this case the set of clopens $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra. It makes sense to talk about $CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ as Boolean algebras, in classical discrete model theory

Theorem (Eagle-V.)

$CL(X) \equiv CL(Y)$ if and only if $C(X) \equiv C(Y)$.

Theorem (??)

There are only \aleph_0 -many theories of Boolean algebras.

Corollary

There are \aleph_0 -many theories of abelian C^* -algebras of dimension 0.

Moreover

- $C(\alpha + 1) \equiv C(\beta + 1) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ for every ordinal α, β .
- $C(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$

Unfortunately

Theorem

- *For every $n > 0$, there are continuum many theories for n -dimensional abelian C^* -algebras.*
- *If $n > 0$, there is not a class of objects (groups, rings, lattices, etc) that can be associated "canonically" to abelian C^* -algebra preserving elementary equivalency.*

(..even though there is some work in progress.)

Unfortunately

Theorem

- *For every $n > 0$, there are continuum many theories for n -dimensional abelian C^* -algebras.*
- *If $n > 0$, there is not a class of objects (groups, rings, lattices, etc) that can be associated "canonically" to abelian C^* -algebra preserving elementary equivalency.*

(..even though there is some work in progress.)

Unfortunately

Theorem

- *For every $n > 0$, there are continuum many theories for n -dimensional abelian C^* -algebras.*
- *If $n > 0$, there is not a class of objects (groups, rings, lattices, etc) that can be associated "canonically" to abelian C^* -algebra preserving elementary equivalency.*

(..even though there is some work in progress.)

Definition

- Let A be a C^* -algebra. Let Φ be a set of formulas with parameters from A . A Φ -**type** is a set of conditions of the form $\phi(\bar{x}) \in K$ where $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact.
- A Φ -type Σ is **consistent** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $d(\phi(\bar{a}), K) < \epsilon$ for every $\phi \in \Delta$.
- A Φ -type is **realized** if there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $\phi(\bar{a}) \in K$ for every $\phi \in \Sigma$,
- A is said **countably Φ -saturated** if every consistent countable Φ -type is realized.

Three degrees of saturation are important: 1-degree (Φ is the set of formulas of the form $\|P\|$, with P a $*$ -polynomial of degree one), quantifier free (Φ is the set of quantifier free formulas), and full.

Definition

- Let A be a C^* -algebra. Let Φ be a set of formulas with parameters from A . A Φ -**type** is a set of conditions of the form $\phi(\bar{x}) \in K$ where $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact.
- A Φ -type Σ is **consistent** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $d(\phi(\bar{a}), K) < \epsilon$ for every $\phi \in \Delta$.
- A Φ -type is **realized** if there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $\phi(\bar{a}) \in K$ for every $\phi \in \Sigma$,
- A is said **countably Φ -saturated** if every consistent countable Φ -type is realized.

Three degrees of saturation are important: 1-degree (Φ is the set of formulas of the form $\|P\|$, with P a $*$ -polynomial of degree one), quantifier free (Φ is the set of quantifier free formulas), and full.

Definition

- Let A be a C^* -algebra. Let Φ be a set of formulas with parameters from A . A Φ -**type** is a set of conditions of the form $\phi(\bar{x}) \in K$ where $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact.
- A Φ -type Σ is **consistent** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $d(\phi(\bar{a}), K) < \epsilon$ for every $\phi \in \Delta$.
- A Φ -type is **realized** if there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $\phi(\bar{a}) \in K$ for every $\phi \in \Sigma$,
- A is said **countably Φ -saturated** if every consistent countable Φ -type is realized.

Three degrees of saturation are important: 1-degree (Φ is the set of formulas of the form $\|P\|$, with P a $*$ -polynomial of degree one), quantifier free (Φ is the set of quantifier free formulas), and full.

Definition

- Let A be a C^* -algebra. Let Φ be a set of formulas with parameters from A . A Φ -**type** is a set of conditions of the form $\phi(\bar{x}) \in K$ where $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact.
- A Φ -type Σ is **consistent** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $d(\phi(\bar{a}), K) < \epsilon$ for every $\phi \in \Delta$.
- A Φ -type is **realized** if there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $\phi(\bar{a}) \in K$ for every $\phi \in \Sigma$,
- A is said **countably Φ -saturated** if every consistent countable Φ -type is realized.

Three degrees of saturation are important: 1-degree (Φ is the set of formulas of the form $\|P\|$, with P a $*$ -polynomial of degree one), quantifier free (Φ is the set of quantifier free formulas), and full.

Definition

- Let A be a C^* -algebra. Let Φ be a set of formulas with parameters from A . A Φ -**type** is a set of conditions of the form $\phi(\bar{x}) \in K$ where $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact.
- A Φ -type Σ is **consistent** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $d(\phi(\bar{a}), K) < \epsilon$ for every $\phi \in \Delta$.
- A Φ -type is **realized** if there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $\phi(\bar{a}) \in K$ for every $\phi \in \Sigma$,
- A is said **countably Φ -saturated** if every consistent countable Φ -type is realized.

Three degrees of saturation are important: 1-degree (Φ is the set of formulas of the form $\|P\|$, with P a $*$ -polynomial of degree one), quantifier free (Φ is the set of quantifier free formulas), and full.

- If A is a C^* -algebra, $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ is **full** saturated for every nonprincipal \mathcal{U} .
- If A is separable, $\ell^\infty(A)/c_0(A)$ is **full** saturated [Farah-Shelah]
- If X is locally compact metrizable noncompact, $C(\beta X \setminus X)$ is **1-degree** saturated [Farah-Hart]
- Finite dimensional C^* -algebras are **full** saturated, since they are isomorphic to their own ultrapower.
- ..many more examples and properties are known [Farah-Hart, Farah-Shelah, Voiculescu, Eagle-V., others]

The following seems silly at a first look, but a solution has yet to be found:

Question

Is there a C^* -algebra that is quantifier free saturated but not full saturated?

- If A is a C^* -algebra, $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ is **full** saturated for every nonprincipal \mathcal{U} .
- If A is separable, $\ell^\infty(A)/c_0(A)$ is **full** saturated [Farah-Shelah]
- If X is locally compact metrizable noncompact, $C(\beta X \setminus X)$ is **1-degree** saturated [Farah-Hart]
- Finite dimensional C^* -algebras are **full** saturated, since they are isomorphic to their own ultrapower.
- ..many more examples and properties are known [Farah-Hart, Farah-Shelah, Voiculescu, Eagle-V., others]

The following seems silly at a first look, but a solution has yet to be found:

Question

Is there a C^* -algebra that is quantifier free saturated but not full saturated?

- If A is a C^* -algebra, $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ is **full** saturated for every nonprincipal \mathcal{U} .
- If A is separable, $\ell^\infty(A)/c_0(A)$ is **full** saturated [Farah-Shelah]
- If X is locally compact metrizable noncompact, $C(\beta X \setminus X)$ is **1-degree** saturated [Farah-Hart]
- Finite dimensional C^* -algebras are **full** saturated, since they are isomorphic to their own ultrapower.
- ..many more examples and properties are known [Farah-Hart, Farah-Shelah, Voiculescu, Eagle-V., others]

The following seems silly at a first look, but a solution has yet to be found:

Question

Is there a C^* -algebra that is quantifier free saturated but not full saturated?

- If A is a C^* -algebra, $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ is **full** saturated for every nonprincipal \mathcal{U} .
- If A is separable, $\ell^\infty(A)/c_0(A)$ is **full** saturated [Farah-Shelah]
- If X is locally compact metrizable noncompact, $C(\beta X \setminus X)$ is **1-degree** saturated [Farah-Hart]
- Finite dimensional C^* -algebras are **full** saturated, since they are isomorphic to their own ultrapower.
- ..many more examples and properties are known [Farah-Hart, Farah-Shelah, Voiculescu, Eagle-V., others]

The following seems silly at a first look, but a solution has yet to be found:

Question

Is there a C^* -algebra that is quantifier free saturated but not full saturated?

- If A is a C^* -algebra, $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ is **full** saturated for every nonprincipal \mathcal{U} .
- If A is separable, $\ell^\infty(A)/c_0(A)$ is **full** saturated [Farah-Shelah]
- If X is locally compact metrizable noncompact, $C(\beta X \setminus X)$ is **1-degree** saturated [Farah-Hart]
- Finite dimensional C^* -algebras are **full** saturated, since they are isomorphic to their own ultrapower.
- ..many more examples and properties are known [Farah-Hart, Farah-Shelah, Voiculescu, Eagle-V., others]

The following seems silly at a first look, but a solution has yet to be found:

Question

Is there a C^* -algebra that is quantifier free saturated but not full saturated?

Let X be 0-dimensional. $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra, and it is said to be countably saturated if it is saturated in the usual discrete model theoretical sense. First some topological properties associated to X :

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

If $C(X)$ is countably 1-degree saturated:

- *X is not metrizable*
- *X does not have the countable chain condition*
- *every two disjoint open σ -compact have disjoint closures (X is an F -space)*
- *No open σ -compact has open closure. (X is not Rickart)*

Let X be 0-dimensional. $CL(X)$ is a Boolean algebra, and it is said to be countably saturated if it is saturated in the usual discrete model theoretical sense. First some topological properties associated to X :

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

If $C(X)$ is countably 1-degree saturated:

- *X is not metrizable*
- *X does not have the countable chain condition*
- *every two disjoint open σ -compact have disjoint closures (X is an F -space)*
- *No open σ -compact has open closure. (X is not Rickart)*

and then a Theorem connecting the notions of saturation:

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

$C(X)$ is full saturated $\Rightarrow CL(X)$ is full saturated

and

$CL(X)$ is saturated $\Rightarrow C(X)$ is quantifier free saturated.

(The last implication should be strengthened)

(For the proof: we assume the Continuum Hypothesis in the first place,
and then we get rid of it via forcing and absoluteness)

and then a Theorem connecting the notions of saturation:

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

$C(X)$ is full saturated $\Rightarrow CL(X)$ is full saturated

and

$CL(X)$ is saturated $\Rightarrow C(X)$ is quantifier free saturated.

(The last implication should be strengthened)

(For the proof: we assume the Continuum Hypothesis in the first place,
and then we get rid of it via forcing and absoluteness)

and then a Theorem connecting the notions of saturation:

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

$C(X)$ is full saturated $\Rightarrow CL(X)$ is full saturated

and

$CL(X)$ is saturated $\Rightarrow C(X)$ is quantifier free saturated.

(The last implication should be strengthened)

(For the proof: we assume the Continuum Hypothesis in the first place,
and then we get rid of it via forcing and absoluteness)

and then a Theorem connecting the notions of saturation:

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

$C(X)$ is full saturated $\Rightarrow CL(X)$ is full saturated

and

$CL(X)$ is saturated $\Rightarrow C(X)$ is quantifier free saturated.

(The last implication should be strengthened)

(For the proof: we assume the Continuum Hypothesis in the first place,
and then we get rid of it via forcing and absoluteness)

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

If, in addition, X does not have isolated point the following are equivalent

- $C(X)$ is 1-degree saturated
- $C(X)$ is quantifier free saturated
- $C(X)$ is full saturated
- $CL(X)$ is saturated.

in particular the theory of $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ has quantifier elimination.

Question

Are there other C^* -algebras with quantifier elimination, other than the obvious ones (\mathbb{C} , \mathbb{C}^2 , $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$)?

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

If, in addition, X does not have isolated point the following are equivalent

- $C(X)$ is 1-degree saturated
- $C(X)$ is quantifier free saturated
- $C(X)$ is full saturated
- $CL(X)$ is saturated.

in particular the theory of $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ has quantifier elimination.

Question

Are there other C^* -algebras with quantifier elimination, other than the obvious ones (\mathbb{C} , \mathbb{C}^2 , $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$)?

Theorem (Eagle - V.)

If, in addition, X does not have isolated point the following are equivalent

- $C(X)$ is 1-degree saturated
- $C(X)$ is quantifier free saturated
- $C(X)$ is full saturated
- $CL(X)$ is saturated.

in particular the theory of $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ has quantifier elimination.

Question

Are there other C^* -algebras with quantifier elimination, other than the obvious ones (\mathbb{C} , \mathbb{C}^2 , $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$)?

This was just a small corner of the, constantly enlarging, subfield of model theory for operator algebras. Developments are needed in both the abelian case and the non-abelian one, in particular in the framework of nuclear C^* -algebras.

It is conceivable that continuous model theory may help operator algebraists to solve problems that are open since decades, and logicians to understand better the wild world of functional analysis.

This was just a small corner of the, constantly enlarging, subfield of model theory for operator algebras. Developments are needed in both the abelian case and the non-abelian one, in particular in the framework of nuclear C^* -algebras.

It is conceivable that continuous model theory may help operator algebraists to solve problems that are open since decades, and logicians to understand better the wild world of functional analysis.

This was just a small corner of the, constantly enlarging, subfield of model theory for operator algebras. Developments are needed in both the abelian case and the non-abelian one, in particular in the framework of nuclear C^* -algebras.

It is conceivable that continuous model theory may help operator algebraists to solve problems that are open since decades, and logicians to understand better the wild world of functional analysis.

